

Court of Revision

Beiseker, Alberta,  
June 13, 1952.

The Court Of Revision was held in Louis' Garage in the Mayor's office on Friday, June 13th, commencing at 2:02 P.M. The members consisted of:

Mayor L.L.Schmaltz (Chairman)  
Councillor Adam Velker  
Assessor- Mr. Mills ( Department of Municipal Affairs)  
Complainant- Mr. Carl L. Schmaltz  
" - Mr. George N. Schmaltz for George Schmaltz Sr.  
Clerk of Court- Mr. L.W.Bunyan

The Chairman read the list of complaints and invited Mr. C.L. Schmaltz to state his case. Mr. C.L.Schmaltz said that his assessment is too high in comparison with other property in the Village. He used the properties of Otto Tetz, Peter Schmaltz ( adjoining) and Matt Schmaltz as comparisons and stated that although he had a new house he had used considerable old lumber. A discussion followed between Mr. Schmaltz and Mr. Mills where the latter reviewed the comparisons and pointed out that assessments were all in line except that Mr. Matt Schmaltz's property was too low. Mr. Carl L. Schmaltz was in agreement with Mr. Mills views and was quite prepared to accept the judgment of the court. All discussion coming to an end, the chairman advised the complainant that the court would reserve decision and that he would have an opportunity to appeal to the commission in Edmonton, if he so desires.

Mr. G.N.Schmaltz then stated the case for his father, George Schmaltz Sr., who was ill and could not attend. He claimed that although the property in question is in lots that it is still being used as farm land and felt that it should be assessed as such. Mr. Mills then said that if the property is surveyed into lots it must be assessed as such and furthermore that it must be assessed in comparison with the surrounding lots even though there are or are not buildings on them. Mr. Mills advised that the only way out was to have the plan cancelled and to have a reassessment as farmland, which he advised is very expensive.

The Chairman then advised Mr. G.N. Schmaltz that because of the legal point involved that the court could do nothing. Mr. G.N. Schmaltz appeared to accept the point, ~~and the subject was reserved for later~~ Rob.

*Re Carl L. Schmaltz: due to fact that the class of assessment fits in with like homes of Beiseker that the court cannot see fit to reduce the assessment.  
June 30, 1952 Rob.*

Moved by Councillor Adam that the court do now adjourn. 2:45P.M.  
Done and passed in Court of Revision assembled this 13th day of June, 1952, A.D.

*L.W. Bunyan*

Clerk of the Court

## LIST OF COMPLAINTS TO COURT OF REVISION

(Sec. 38-(3))

Village  
Complaints to be heard by the Council of the ~~Municipal District of~~ **Beiseker**.....

No. 2 on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of June 1952.

Name of Complainant	Complaint in respect of	Matter Complained of
C.L. Schmaltz	I-3, 3, 40IIX	Over assessment
George Schmaltz	<del>Pt. NW1/4, Sec 12, 28-26</del> With (26.99 acres) <u>I-18, 13, 1192 FA.</u> <i>J.W.B.</i>	Over assessment

*J.W.B.*  
Sec. Treas.